1	Systematic Review of the Global Implementation of Adverse Childhood Experiences Surveys
2	
3	Authors:
4	Megan Quinn, Glory Okwori, Steven Stewart, Ifeoma D. Ozodiegwu, Ha Linh
5	
6	Acknowledgments and/ or inclusion in the paper: people who reviewed articles
7	
8	Author affiliations
9	
10	Corresponding Author
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

29 Abstract

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) are common globally and have been found to be 30 31 associated with a myriad of negative behavioral and health outcomes. An understanding of how 32 ACEs are measured and through what survey mechanisms is not well documented. Peer reviewed and grey literature were searched for articles on adverse childhood experiences and 33 articles from 1998 through November 2018 were included. Inclusion criteria were studies that 34 administered a version of the ACE questionnaire on non-institutionalized adults 18 years and 35 older. All study designs were included with the exposure of interest being self-reported ACEs. 36 Studies that did not meet these criteria were excluded. A total of 712 studies, from 60 different 37 countries were included and there were 87 different ACEs related surveys used. Over a third of 38 the surveys were modified from their original versions. Majority of the articles included 39 questions on physical/emotional abuse (93%), while sexual abuse questions were included in 40 89% of the articles. Household dysfunction questions were included in 75% of the articles and 41 neglect questions were included in 67% of the articles. Thirty-two percent of these studies were 42 43 conducted in clinical settings while 68% were conducted in community settings. The Childhood 44 Trauma Questionnaire was most commonly used in clinical settings and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ACE questionnaire in community settings. The variety of surveys used to 45 46 measure ACEs may make it difficult for researchers and practitioners to choose which survey is 47 best for a given setting and to compare findings across different populations.

- 48
- 49 50
- 51

52 Key words: adverse childhood experiences, surveys, child maltreatment

53 Introduction

Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) increase the risk of negative health outcomes in later 54 55 life. Retrospective reports of exposures to multiple ACEs find that ACEs are strongly linked with sexual risk behaviors, sexually transmitted infections, drug use, problematic alcohol use, 56 57 violence and suicide was observed (Hughes et al., 2017). Associations with ACEs have also been 58 observed for other health risk behaviors such as physical inactivity and smoking, and chronic diseases like diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, and liver or digestive diseases (Huang et 59 al., 2015; Hughes et al., 2017). In the United States, up to 32% and 24% of psychiatric disorders 60 in women and men respectively are attributable to having experienced any adverse childhood 61 event; whereas up 50% and 33% of the proportion of suicide ideation and attempts in women and 62 men respectively are linked to experiencing an adverse childhood event (Afifi et al., 2008). 63 Questionnaires or instruments for retrospective measurement of ACE in adults abound, including 64 the Centers for Disease Control and Kaiser Permanente ACE study questionnaire (U.S Centers 65 for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016), the state-level Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance 66 Study (BRFSS) ACE model (U.S Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016), the World 67 Health Organization's ACE International Questionnaire (WHO ACE-IQ) (World Health 68 Organization, 2018), and the Philadelphia ACE tool (The Philadelphia ACE project., 2018). 69 However, identification and comprehensive documentation of these instruments in various 70 71 geographical and public health contexts to guide decision-making and further research on their validity and reliability is non-existent. The current systematic review will provide an overview of 72 73 ACE instruments implemented globally, which could potentially inform researchers and other 74 public health practitioners on the most appropriate questionnaire(s) to implement in their area of

focus and to ascertain whether additional work is needed to adapt extant questionnaire to theircontext.

The aims of the review were: 1) determine where ACE questionnaires have been implemented globally, 2) determine what versions of ACE questionnaires have been used and how those questionnaires have differed, 3) the types of settings the ACE questionnaires were used (clinical or community), whether the ACE questionnaires was modified for implementation, and if modified, the explanations that were provided. The overall goal of this review was to provide comprehensive documentation of ACE instruments utilized in various geographical and public health contexts to guide decision-making and further research on their validity and reliability

84 Methods

85 The systematic review protocol was registered with PROSPERO, the international prospective registrar of systematic reviews, in November 2018. Both the peer reviewed and grey literature 86 were searched for English language only articles from October 26, 2018 to November 12, 2018. 87 Articles published from 1998 through November 2018 were eligible for inclusion. A keyword 88 search using adverse childhood experiences as free text was completed. Searches were conducted 89 90 in the following databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, PyschINFO, ERIC, ProQuest and Social Science Index. Grey literature searches included databases of dissertation abstracts; 91 websites of the following organizations - ACEs connections, National Center for Trauma-92 93 Informed Care and Alternatives to Seclusion and Restraint (NCTIC), The Philadelphia ACE project; abstract books of the following organizations - International Society for the Prevention 94 of Child Abuse and Neglect, Sexual Violence Research Initiative, Association for the Treatment 95 96 of Sexual Abusers. Moreover, additional searches will be conducted to identify grey literature

97 from the Google search Engine. Further, reference lists of identified literature were screened, and98 relevant articles were retrieved and included in the study.

All study designs were included. Included studies must have administered a version of the ACE
questionnaire on non-institutionalized adults 18 years and older. The exposure of interest was
self-reported ACEs. Studies conducted in any location globally provided the ACE questionnaires
were administered by the principal investigators. Studies that did not meet these criteria were
excluded.

104 After the search procedure, identified studies were loaded into Mendeley referencing software and duplicates were deleted. Two members of the systematic review team independently 105 screened the titles and abstracts of all identified studies for inclusion into the review. Articles 106 107 that are unrelated to the review or did not meet the inclusion criteria based on the title and abstract were eliminated. The full text of articles with sufficient information in the title or 108 109 abstract were extracted to determine whether the inclusion criteria were met. Ineligible articles were excluded. Disagreements among the screeners were discussed and consensus was reached 110 based on the opinion of a third reviewer. After screening was concluded, all full text articles 111 were downloaded, and their reference lists were reviewed to identify eligible articles. Two 112 reviewers extracted the following information into a standardized pre-piloted form created for 113 the purposes of this study: Article name, date, journal and authors; name of ACE survey used, 114 115 location of the study, the study setting (clinical vs community), the types of ACE questions (household dysfunction, abuse, bullying, community violence, others), the version of the ACE 116 survey (Kaiser Permanente, BRFSS, WHO ACE-IQ, others), whether the survey was modified, 117 118 and the reasons for modification. Disagreements between the reviewers were discussed and a

final decision was determined by the reviewers. If needed, a third reviewer was consulted tofinalize the review.

121 Qualitative synthesis of the systematic review findings was completed. A narrative synthesis was 122 presented structured around the geographic location and setting (community or clinical) of ACE questionnaire implementation, the types of ACE questions (household dysfunction, abuse, 123 124 bullying, community violence, others), the version of the ACE survey (Kaiser Permanente, BRFSS, WHO ACE-IQ, others), whether the survey was modified, and the reasons for 125 modification. Results was categorized by the following sub-groups: ACE surveys, country, 126 127 setting (clinical or community), type of ACE questions and survey modification. Assessment of individual study quality for the risk of bias was not of concern in this review because the 128 methods employed within individual studies did not influence the review questions, which were 129 to identify the ACE questionnaire and version used in individual studies, the implementation 130 setting, and the reasons for modification of the instrument, if applicable. 131

132 **Results**

133 Search Results

A total of 6,928 publications were retrieved from the initial search. This included 566
documents from Psych info, 5674 documents from Medline, 398 documents from CINAHL, 100
documents from Sociological abstracts, 32 documents from ERIC, and 158 from ProQuest
Dissertations and Theses Global. 6551 articles were screened after removing unrelated articles
and duplicates. 1165 articles were selected for full-text review and 453 articles were excluded.
Reasons for exclusion include studies without the explicit name of ACE questionnaires and

studies using minors. The final selection consisted of 712 articles. The PRISMA flow diagram ofthe process is depicted in Figure 1.

142 Overview of Selected Articles

The included 712 articles had 87 different surveys and 60 countries were represented as shown in 143 Table 1. Thirty-two percent of these studies were conducted in clinical settings while 68% were 144 conducted in community settings. Thirty-four percent of the surveys were modified. Majority of 145 the articles included questions on physical/emotional abuse (93%), sexual abuse questions were 146 included in 89% of the articles, household dysfunction questions were included in 75% of the 147 articles, neglect questions were included in 67% of the articles. The least represented questions 148 were peer victimization (32%), witnessing community violence (31%) and dating violence 149 150 (27%). The names of all surveys represented are shown in Table 2. Reasons for modification included cultural adaptation, language, study focus, coverage of broader items, deficient 151 psychometric properties of various sub-scales of questionnaires, adult recall versions, etc. 152

153 Overview of Prevalent ACE questionnaires

Surveys that were utilized in at least 10 articles were further analyzed (Tables 3a and 3b). The 154 most common questionnaire used in 19% of the articles was the Childhood Trauma 155 Questionnaire (19%) and this has been utilized mostly in the United States (US), and also in 156 Germany, United Kingdom (UK), Turkey, Netherlands, Italy, e.t c in both clinical and 157 community settings equally (50%). In studies that utilized the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire, 158 most questions included neglect (84%), physical/emotional abuse (96%), dating violence (74%) 159 and sexual abuse (95%) and 68% of studies modified their surveys. The second most common 160 questionnaire was the Kaiser Permanente ACE Questionnaires (18%) and this has been utilized 161

162	mostly in the United States (US), and also in Germany, Canada, Ireland and United Kingdom
163	mostly in community settings (55%). In studies that utilized the Kaiser Permanente ACE
164	Questionnaire, most questions included physical/emotional abuse (89%), sexual abuse (87%),
165	household dysfunction (86%) and 35% of studies modified their surveys.
166	The BRFSS questionnaire was utilized in 8% of the included studies and this has been utilized
167	mostly in the United States (US), and also in UK in mostly community settings (82%). In
168	studies that utilized the BRFSS questionnaire, most questions included peer victimization (98%),
169	physical/emotional abuse (95%), and household dysfunction (93%) and 70% of studies modified
170	their surveys. The conflict tactic scale questionnaire was utilized in 7% of the included studies
171	and this has been utilized mostly in the United States (US), and also in South Korea, Japan, etc.
172	in mostly community settings (82%). In studies that utilized the conflict tactic scale, all
173	questions included physical/ emotional abuse and 89% of studies included questions on sexual
174	abuse and household dysfunction while 74% of studies modified their surveys.
175	There were 19 studies that utilized the WHO ACE-IQ and this questionnaire was used mostly in
176	Kenya for six of those studies mostly in community settings (79%). In studies that utilized the
177	WHO ACE-IQ, all questions included household dysfunction and 89% of studies included
178	questions on sexual abuse, peer victimization and witnessing community violence while 95% of
179	studies modified their surveys. Other surveys utilized in this review are shown in table 3b. These
180	surveys include the Early Trauma Inventory, the Risky Family Questionnaire, the Trauma
181	History Questionnaire, the WHO CIDI and Wyatt Sex History Questionnaire.
102	

184 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess ACE survey use in various contexts to 185 186 determine the best choice of questionnaire for use in future research. Even though childhood 187 maltreatment was studied prior to the original ACEs study by Felitti et al., that specific study 188 highlighted the link between ACEs and adult health outcomes, and has since garnered much 189 more attention possibly because the economic burden of these traumas was highlighted (Finkelhor, 2018; McLennan et al., 2020). Since then, stakeholders have made efforts to assess 190 191 the prevalence and effects of ACEs to determine and attenuate the associated effects thus reducing economic burden and increasing individual's health (Finkelhor, 2018). Researchers 192 have typically assessed ACEs through patient retrospective self-report, often through 193 questionnaires or epidemiological studies (McLennan et al., 2020). 194 The current study found that the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ), CDC Kaiser 195 Permanente ACE Study Questionnaire, CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System 196 (BRFSS) ACEs Module, and the Conflict Tactics Scale (CTS), and Adverse Childhood 197 Experiences International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ) were used in 55% of the included studies and 198 no other questionnaire was utilized in more than 3% of the included studies. The CTQ was 199 utilized mostly in clinical settings (n = 65) and the CDC Kaiser Permanente ACE Study 200 Questionnaire was administered most frequently in community settings (n = 71). 201 202 The Childhood Trauma Questionnaire is a 28-item questionnaire that assesses five categories of childhood adversity: emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional neglect, and 203 204 physical neglect (Scher et al., 2001). Although it was originally intended to assess childhood 205 maltreatment in male patients who abuse drugs, it was later tested on community samples.

However, the questionnaire has a higher reliability among clinical populations compared to
community populations (Burgermeister, 2007; Scher et al., 2001).

208 The CDC Kaiser Permanente ACE Study Questionnaire was initially designed for use in a 209 clinical setting; questionnaires were delivered in two waves to patients at a health maintenance organization in Southern California (Felitti et al., 1998). The wave I questionnaire consisted of 210 211 17 items that assessed childhood psychological, physical, and sexual abuse as well as household dysfunction (Felitti et al., 1998). The study's second wave included eleven additional items; five 212 213 items each assessing emotional and physical neglect as well as one item about parental divorce 214 (Anda et al., 2009). Researchers should be aware that the choice for selecting items for some existing questionnaires based upon the original ACE study, such as the ACE Scale, appear to be 215 arbitrary rather than evidence based and omits more predictive childhood experiences (Finkelhor 216 et al., 2015). 217

The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System is a national survey administered to residents of
the United States that gathers data about individuals predictive behaviors and health outcomes
(*CDC - About the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)*, n.d.). In 2009, the
BRFSS added an optional 11 item ACE module based upon the CDC Kaiser Permanente ACE
Study Questionnaire that assesses household dysfunction, physical abuse, and sexual abuse (Ford
et al., 2014).

The Conflict Tactics Scale was created through a research program with the University of New Hampshire and asks about verbal and nonverbal conflicts between family members including between the respondent and each family member as well as between non-respondent family members (Straus, 1979). The outcomes include verbal abuse, physical abuse, as well as witnessing domestic violence (Straus, 1979).

229	The World Health Organization (WHO), in conjunction with the United States Centers for
230	Disease Control, created the 29 items ACE-IQ as a tool for researchers to use to assess ACE
231	prevalence and correlation to adulthood outcomes in low and middle income countries that are
232	underrepresented in this field of study ("WHO ACE Global Research Network," 2014; "WHO
233	Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ)," 2018). This
234	questionnaire is more robust than those previously discussed and not only assesses physical,
235	emotional, and sexual abuse and neglect but also includes items concerning peer victimization,
236	observing community violence, and collective violence ("WHO Adverse Childhood
237	Experiences International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ)," 2018). There are proposed methods to
238	modify the ACE-IQ for cultural competence and it has been shown to be appropriate in multiple
239	settings (Ho et al., 2019; Kidman et al., 2019; Quinn et al., 2018).
240	It is important to note both the time period these surveys were created and the age of the
241	respondents of the newer tools such as the original ACE Study. As parental divorce has become
242	more common, its effects on children growing up have diminished (Finkelhor et al., 2015).
243	Furthermore, aside from the ACE-IQ, the standard versions of the previously mentioned surveys
244	do not include peer victimization and none of them assesses childhood poverty both of which
245	have been linked to negative adulthood outcomes (Aber et al., 1997; Copeland et al., 2013).
246	Additional concerns about the use of surveys to score ACEs include the lack of consideration for
247	mediating factors as well as the assumption that different individuals are affected similarly by
248	maltreatments (Take the ACE Quiz – And Learn What It Does and Doesn't Mean - Center on the
249	Developing Child at Harvard University, n.d.).
250	While 55 countries were represented in the current analysis, and less than half were developed

251 countries as defined by the United Nations (World Economic Situation and Prospects -

252 Statistical Annex, 2019), the vast majority of research was conducted in developed countries,

with the United States assessing ACEs far more than any other country. This is consistent with

254 previous research indicating childhood maltreatment is underassessed in developing countries

and that data is inconsistent (Veenema et al., 2015) Even though reported rates of some ACEs in

256 developing countries are high, rates of traumas such as sexual abuse can be underreported and

difficult to research because of stigmatization (Ramiro et al., 2010; Veenema et al., 2015).

A concern with assessment tools that are utilized in a variety of settings is cultural competence.

259 This includes acknowledging how and why a tool was created including the target audience,

260 present or potential issues between cultures, as well as solutions (Kumaş-Tan et al., 2007).

261 Findings from this study indicate that primary reasons for survey modification included

adaptation for language and cultural settings.

Selecting appropriate survey tools is needed to develop appropriate strategies to improve 263 264 outcomes in children. However, majority of the surveys utilized in low- and middle-income countries were developed in Western contexts and are often adapted to capture important aspects 265 of the child's development and environment within cross cultural contexts. When comparing 266 267 responses among populations that vary in language and culture, the comparability of such responses is a concern particularly when these assessments are based on verbal reports of 268 sampled population and it would be important to demonstrate that characteristics such as cultural 269 270 values, education and language do not influence the quality of assessment (Fischer, Morris, & Martines, 2014). Even though standard surveys from Western countries may have been validated 271 272 within their settings, applying these surveys to non-Western contexts is associated with 273 limitations such as interpretation of scores and feasibility in resource-constrained settings (Fischer et al., 2014). 274

In some articles studied, no appropriate tools were available and new items had to be created.
This usually requires understanding the domain being measured and cultural references but can
still be problematic. Developing survey items is a process that involves engaging individual
representatives of the population within focus groups and decisions regarding participation,
transcription and analysis of the information are relevant factors in this process (Sabanathan,
Wills, & Gladstone, 2015). Screening tools are beneficial when utilized with known applicability
in the target population.

Measuring ACEs in low- and middle-income countries with standard surveys for large scale 282 surveys or evaluations can be costly and difficult and their validity in such circumstances is 283 unknown. The knowledge of the structure, psychometric properties and limitations of surveys are 284 typically restricted to specialists and in practice, health workers from different backgrounds 285 administer and interpret survey results(Rubio-Codina, Araujo, Attanasio, Muñoz, & Grantham-286 McGregor, 2016). Many surveys administered in the US and in European countries do not 287 demonstrate similar strong psychometric properties in different settings (Knauer, Kariger, 288 Jakiela, Ozier, & Fernald, 2019). Future research should investigate understanding the structure 289 and psychometric properties of these surveys to interpret findings appropriately. 290

291 Strengths and Limitations:

As outlined in the study protocol and the Prisma checklist for reporting, this analysis did not have a risk of bias. The number of times a survey was utilized could be inflated due to the number of articles that were secondary analyses of existing data using data from the Original ACE study or BRFSS. For example, the Original ACE Study by Felitti et al., has garnered much attention to the study of ACEs and a growing body of research, including articles reviewed for the current study, are based upon secondary analysis of data from the Original ACE Study(McLennan et al., 2020).

299 Several factors may have resulted in the omission of ACE questionnaires and affected the 300 accuracy of the present analysis. Examples of these are: researchers including articles based upon the abstract but data extractors not being able to locate the complete text for analysis, literature 301 302 not stating which ACEs survey was utilized or not including the survey in the article, articles only reporting the total number of ACEs as opposed to the types and number of ACE questions 303 304 they asked participants, articles stating that an existing tool was modified but not stating which modifications made, as well as not stating if the survey was or was not modified. Another factor 305 that affects the assessed prevalence of ACEs, through any mechanism, is that some individuals 306 may not be ready to disclose their ACEs at the time a survey is administered (Read et al., 2007). 307 The reliability and risk of under reporting of ACEs, particularly physical abuse, has been 308 previously established (McKinney et al., 2009; Pinto et al., 2014; Wielaard et al., 2018). 309 (Goldstein et al., 2017) 310

Alternatively, the decreasing cooperation of the general population of developed countries with data gatherers presents problems for many researchers (Groves, 2011; Rindfuss et al., n.d.). A key strength of this analysis is that it not only included ACE assessment in community and clinical settings including psychiatric, hospital, primary care, academic, and cross-sectional samples. This study also included data acquired globally, rather than only in developed countries, which allowed for a more robust review.

317 **Recommendations/Conclusions:**

The current analysis shows that CTQ is used more in clinical settings, which is consistent with 318 its reliability. The CDC Kaiser Permanente ACE Study questionnaire is more often used in 319 community settings. The majority of research on ACEs used a survey mechanism that assessed 320 321 physical abuse, sexual abuse, and neglect but only about a third of the included articles that assessed peer victimization even though it has strong correlation to adult mental health. 322 323 Investigators should also consider including items about childhood poverty because of its effect upon development and adulthood outcomes. While it was used less frequently than the other 324 tools explored in this discussion, the ACE-IQ assess a broader range of childhood traumas with 325 existing guidelines for modifications and established reliability and could be a valuable tool for 326 researchers. 327 Because ACEs can affect individuals differently and for the sake of transparency, researchers 328 329 should present assessed ACE prevalence individually instead of solely in aggregate. If researchers choose to modify an existing questionnaire survey or administered or, at minimum, 330 the modified items should be presented with their findings. Researchers should be mindful of the 331 reason for inclusion or exclusion or particular ACEs as cultural context changes and the effects 332 vary. Future research should examine the necessary tradeoffs when selecting survey tools for 333 334 evaluating policies and programs as well as strategies for triangulating evidence to enable comprehension of early childhood exposures and outcomes within a global context. 335

336

References

33&ber, J. L., Bennett, N. G., Conley, D. C., & Li, J. (1997). The Effects of Poverty on Child Health and

- 339 Development. *Annual Review of Public Health*, *18*(1), 463–483.
- 340 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.18.1.463

34Alegria, Margarity, Vila, D., Woo, M., Canino, G., Takeuchi, D., Vera, M., Febo, V., Guarnaccia, P.,

- Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., & Shrout, P. (2004). Cultural relevance and equivalence in the NLAAS
- 343 instrument: integrating etic and emic in the development of cross-cultural measures for a
- 344 psychiatric epidemiology and services study of Latinos. International Journal of Methods in
- 345 *Psychiatric Research*, *13*(4), 270–288.
- 346 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2771729/pdf/MPR-13-270.pdf

34Anda, R. F., Dong, M., Brown, D. W., Felitti, V. J., Giles, W. H., Perry, G. S., Valerie, E. J., & Dube,

348 S. R. (2009). The relationship of adverse childhood experiences to a history of premature death

of family members. *BMC Public Health*, *9*, 106. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-106

35Burgermeister, D. (2007). Childhood Adversity: A Review of Measurement Instruments. In *Journal*of Nursing Measurement (Vol. 15, Issue 3).

35¢DC - About the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). (n.d.). Retrieved August 7,
2020, from https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/about/about_brfss.htm

35Copeland, W. E., Wolke, D., Angold, A., & Costello, E. J. (2013). Adult psychiatric outcomes of
bullying and being bullied by peers in childhood and adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 70(4), 419–
426. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.504

35Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P.,

358 & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of

the leading causes of death in adults: The adverse childhood experiences (ACE) study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 14(4), 245–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-

361 8

36E inkelhor, D. (2018). Screening for adverse childhood experiences (ACEs): Cautions and suggestions.
363 *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 85, 174–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2017.07.016

36Finkelhor, D., Shattuck, A., Turner, H., & Hamby, S. (2015). A revised inventory of Adverse

- 365 Childhood Experiences. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 48, 13–21.
- 366 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.07.011

36Fischer, V. J., Morris, J., & Martines, J. (2014). Developmental screening tools: Feasibility of use at

- primary healthcare level in low- and middle-income settings. *Journal of Health, Population and*
- 369 *Nutrition*, 32(2), 314–326. https://doi.org/10.3329/jhpn.v32i2.2625Ford, D. C., Merrick, M. T.,
- 370 Parks, S. E., Breiding, M. J., Gilbert, L. K., Edwards, V. J., Dhingra, S. S., Barile, J. P., &
- Thompson, W. W. (2014). Examination of the factorial structure of adverse childhood
- experiences and recommendations for three subscale scores. *Psychology of Violence*, 4(4), 432–
- 373 444. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037723

37Goldstein, E., Athale, N., Sciolla, A. F., & Catz, S. L. (2017). Patient Preferences for Discussing

- 375 Childhood Trauma in Primary Care. *The Permanente Journal*, *21*, 16–055.
- 376 https://doi.org/10.7812/TPP/16-055

37Groves, R. M. (2011). THREE ERAS OF SURVEY RESEARCH. In *Source: The Public Opinion*378 *Quarterly* (Vol. 75, Issue 5).

- 37 Ho, G. W. K., Chan, A. C. Y., Chien, W. T., Bressington, D. T., & Karatzias, T. (2019). Examining
- 380 patterns of adversity in Chinese young adults using the Adverse Childhood Experiences—
- International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ). *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 88, 179–188.
- 382 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.11.009

38Kidman, R., Smith, D., Piccolo, L. R., & Kohler, H. P. (2019). Psychometric evaluation of the

- Adverse Childhood Experience International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ) in Malawian adolescents.
- 385 *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 92, 139–145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2019.03.015</u>
- 38Knauer, H. A., Kariger, P., Jakiela, P., Ozier, O., & Fernald, L. C. H. (2019). Multilingual assessment
- 387 of early child development: Analyses from repeated observations of children in Kenya.
- 388 Developmental Science, 22(5). https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12875Kumaş-Tan, Z., Beagan, B.,
- Loppie, C., MacLeod, A., & Frank, B. (2007). Measures of cultural competence: Examining
- hidden assumptions. *Academic Medicine*, 82(6), 548–557.
- 391 https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3180555a2d

39McKinney, C. M., Harris, T. R., & Caetano, R. (2009). Reliability of self-reported childhood physical

- abuse by adults and factors predictive of inconsistent reporting. *Violence and Victims*, 24(5),
- 394 653–668. https://doi.org/10.1891/0886-6708.24.5.653

39McLennan, J. D., MacMillan, H. L., & Afifi, T. O. (2020). Questioning the use of adverse childhood
experiences (ACEs) questionnaires. In *Child Abuse and Neglect* (Vol. 101, p. 104331). Elsevier
Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2019.104331

39Binto, R., Correia, L., & Maia, Â. (2014). Assessing the Reliability of Retrospective Reports of

- 399 Adverse Childhood Exp...: EBSCOhost. Journal of Family Violence.
- 400 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-014-9602-9
- 40Quinn, M., Caldara, G., Collins, K., Owens, H., Ozodiegwu, I., Loudermilk, E., & Stinson, J. D.
- 402 (2018). Methods for understanding childhood trauma: modifying the adverse childhood
- 403 experiences international questionnaire for cultural competency. Swiss School of Public Health,
- 404 *63*, 149–151. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-017-1058-2

40Ramiro, L. S., Madrid, B. J., & Brown, D. W. (2010). Adverse childhood experiences (ACE) and
health-risk behaviors among adults in a developing country setting. *Child Abuse and Neglect*,
34(11), 842–855. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2010.02.012

- 40Read, J., Hammersley, P., & Rudegeair, T. (2007). Why, when and how to ask about childhood abuse.
 409 Advances in Psychiatric Treatment. https://www.psykiatri-regionh.dk/centre-og-social410 tilbud/Daykiatriska contro/Daykiatrisk Contor Set. Hone/forskning/Nationalt TPT
- $\label{eq:control} {\tt 410} \quad tilbud/Psykiatriske-centre/Psykiatrisk-Center-Sct.-Hans/forskning/Nationalt-TBT-$

- 411 Center/Guidelines-og-beskrivelser/Documents/Read%20-
- 412 %20Why%20when%20and%20how%20to%20-%202007.pdf

41Rindfuss, R. R., Choe, M. K., Tsuya, N. O., Bumpass, L. L., & Tamaki, E. (n.d.). Do low survey

414 response rates bias results? Evidence from Japan. <u>https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2015.32.26</u>

41Rubio-Codina, M., Araujo, M. C., Attanasio, O., Muñoz, P., & Grantham-McGregor, S. (2016).

- 416 Concurrent validity and feasibility of short tests currently used to measure early childhood
- 417 development in large scale studies. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(8).
- 418 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160962</u>Sabanathan, S., Wills, B., & Gladstone, M. (2015).
- 419 Child development assessment tools in low-income and middle-income countries: How can we
- 420 use them more appropriately? *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, *100*(5), 482–488.
- 421 https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2014-308114

428cher, C. D., Stein, M. B., Asmundson, G. J. G., McCreary, D. R., & Forde, D. R. (2001). The

- 423 Childhood Trauma Questionnaire in a Community Sample: Psychometric Properties and
- 424 Normative Data 0 2MJI Inlernationd Society for Thumhlic SUess Studies. In Journal of
- 425 *Traumatic Stress* (Vol. 14, Issue 4).

426 traus, M. A. (1979). Measuring Intrafamily Conflict and Violence: The Conflict Tactics (CT) Scales.
427 In *Source: Journal of Marriage and Family* (Vol. 41, Issue 1).

42**S***ake the ACE Quiz – And Learn What It Does and Doesn't Mean - Center on the Developing Child at* 429 *Harvard University.* (n.d.). Retrieved August 7, 2020, from

- 430 https://developingchild.harvard.edu/media-coverage/take-the-ace-quiz-and-learn-what-it-does-
- 431 and-doesnt-mean/

43¥eenema, T. G., Thorton, C. P., & Corley, A. (2015). The public health crisis of child sexual abuse in low and middle income cou...: EBSCOhost. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 52(4),

- 434 864–881. https://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?vid=0&sid=cc391e24-80db-
- 435 40c4-bd35-50a3bc4829c8%40sessionmgr4007

43WHO | ACE Global Research Network. (2014). WHO.

- 437 www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/activities/adverse_childhood_experiences/global_resear
 438 ch_network/en/
- 439VHO | Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ). (2018). WHO.
- $\label{eq:http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/activities/adverse_childhood_experience/adverse_childhood_experience/adverse_childhood_experience/adverse_childhood_experience/adverse_childhood_experience/adverse_childhood_exper$
- 441 es/en/

44Wielaard, I., Stek, M. L., Comijs, H. C., & Rhebergen, D. (2018). Reliability of retrospective reports

- on childhood abuse and its determinants in older adults during a 6-year follow-up. *Journal of*
- 444 Psychiatric Research, 105, 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.08.009

44 World Economic Situation and Prospects - Statistical Annex. (2019).

- $\label{eq:https://www.un.org/development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/45/WESP2019_BOOK-development/desa/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/uploads/sites/dpad/wp-content/w$
- 447 ANNEX-en.pdf
- 448

DRAFT